

HUBBARD COMMUNICATIONS OFFICE  
Saint Hill Manor, East Grinstead, Sussex

Remimeo HCO BULLETIN OF 15 OCTOBER 1973RC  
RE-REVISED 26 JULY 1986  
REISSUED 1 OCTOBER 1987

(Only changes are format corrections  
in the heading and signatory section.)

C/S Series 87RC

NULLING AND F/Ning PREPARED LISTS

A prepared list is one which is issued in an HCOB and is used to correct cases. There are many of these. Notable amongst them is C/S 53 and its corrections.

It is sometimes required of the auditor to F/N such a list. This means on calling it that the whole list item by item is to F/N.

QUICKIE

It is wrong think that one has to quickie a prepared list and "get it to F/N in a hurry." A prepared list should always be done so as to get optimum results on a pc.

If a prepared list reveals that more needs to be handled, then it should be handled. For example, if "Engram in restimulation?" reads, the handling would be to assess an L3RG and handle the reads. (Warning: You would not run Dianetics on a Clear or OT. For Clears you would assess the L3RG and then simply indicate the read. For persons at OT III or above you would handle the L3RG as per HCOB 4 July 79, HANDLING CORRECTION LISTS ON OTs.)

If something hot leaps into view on a prepared list, handle it.

If a more major action were found to be needed, it should be programed for later handling, per list instructions.

C/S SERIES 53

A C/S Series 53 is always done Method 5. When one is doing a C/S 53 to F/Ning list, it is assessed Method 5 and then re-assessed Method 5 until the whole list F/Ns. It is never done Method 3.

"NONREADING AND NON-F/Ning" LISTS

Now and then you get the extreme oddity of a list selected to exactly remedy the case not reading but not F/Ning.

Of course this might happen if the list did not apply to the case (such as an OT prepared list being used on a Grade IV, heaven forbid). In the case of lists to correct listing, and in particular the C/S 53 Series, it is nearly impossible for this situation to occur.

A C/S will very often see that the auditor has assessed the list on the pc, has gotten no reads and the list did not F/N.

A "reasonable" C/S (heaven forbid) lets this go by.

Yet he has before him first-class evidence that the auditor:

1. Has out-TRs in general,
2. Has no impingement whatever with TR 1,
3. Is placing his meter in the wrong position in the auditing session so that he cannot see it, the pc and his worksheet,
4. That the auditor's eyesight is bad.

One or more of these conditions certainly exist.

To do nothing about it is to ask for catastrophe after catastrophe with pcs and to have one's confidence in one's own C/Sing deteriorate badly.

An amazing number of auditors cannot make a prepared list read for one of the above reasons.

Putting in Suppress, Invalidation or Misunderstood Words on the list will either get a read or the list will F/N. If a list does not F/N, then the subject of the list is still charged or the auditor is doing something wrong with the list.

The moral of this is that prepared lists that do not read, F/N. When prepared lists that do not read do not F/N or when the auditor cannot get a prepared list to F/N, serious auditing errors are present which will defeat a C/S.

In the interest of obtaining results and being merciful on pcs, the wise C/S never lets this situation go by without finding what it is all about.

L. RON HUBBARD  
Founder

Revision assisted by  
LRH Technical Research  
and Compilations